

Replacement of soybean meal by kased-kok meal (*Neptunia javanica* Miq.) in the diet on gas production and ruminal degradability by using *in vitro* gas technique

Nirawan Anantasook^{1*}, Pongsatorn Gunun², Metha Wanapat³
and Walailuck Kaewwongsa¹

บทคัดย่อ:วัตถุประสงค์ของการศึกษาในครั้งนี้เพื่อศึกษาอิทธิพลของการทดแทนกากถั่วเหลืองด้วยกระเจตโคกบดในสูตรอาหารต่อผลผลิตแก๊สและการย่อยสลายในกระเพาะรูเมนโดยใช้เทคนิค *in vitro* แก๊ส ใช้แผนการทดลองแบบสุ่มสมบูรณ์และอาหารทดลองคือการทดแทนกากถั่วเหลืองด้วยกระเจตโคกบดที่ระดับ 30, 60 และ 100% ในสูตรอาหาร, ตามลำดับทำการทดลองโดยใช้อาหารในอัตราส่วนอาหารหยาบ:อาหารข้นที่ 40:60 ผลการทดลองพบว่า จลศาสตร์ผลผลิตแก๊สและผลผลิตแก๊สรวมไม่มีความแตกต่างกันทางสถิติ ($P>0.05$) ระหว่างทรีทเมนต์ การย่อยสลายของวัตถุดิบ, อินทรีย์วัตถุและการย่อยได้ที่แท้จริงในทรีทเมนต์ที่ทดแทนกากถั่วเหลืองด้วยกระเจตโคกบดที่ระดับ 30 และ 60% มีค่าไม่แตกต่างกับทรีทเมนต์ที่ใช้กากถั่วเหลือง 100% แต่อย่างไรก็ตามพบว่าเมื่อทดแทนกากถั่วเหลืองด้วยกระเจตโคกบดที่ระดับ 100% จะส่งผลให้การย่อยสลายและการย่อยได้ที่แท้จริงลดลง ชีวมวลจุลินทรีย์และความเข้มข้นของแอมโมเนีย-ไนโตรเจนมีค่าสูงสุดเมื่อทำการทดแทนกากถั่วเหลืองด้วยกระเจตโคกบดที่ระดับ 30% ดังนั้นจากการศึกษาในครั้งนี้พบว่าการทดแทนกากถั่วเหลืองด้วยกระเจตโคกบดที่ระดับ 30% สามารถปรับปรุงผลผลิตแก๊ส, จลศาสตร์ผลผลิตแก๊ส, การย่อยสลายในกระเพาะรูเมน, ชีวมวลจุลินทรีย์ และความเข้มข้นของแอมโมเนีย-ไนโตรเจน

ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of replacement of soybean meal (SBB) by kased-kok (*Neptunia javanica* Miq.) meal, (KSKM) in the diet on gas production and ruminal degradability by using *in vitro* gas technique. The experimental design was a completely randomized design (CRD) and the dietary treatments were replacement of SBM by KSKM at 30, 60 and 100%, respectively with 0.5 g of roughage and concentrate ratio at 40:60. The gas production was recorded at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 18, 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation and was used for calculation of gas kinetics. The results revealed that gas kinetics and cumulative gas production were not significantly different among treatments ($P>0.05$). The *in vitro* degradability of DM, OM and true digestibility in treatment that replacement SBM with KSKM at 30 and 60% were not different with SBM group. However, increased level of replacement to 100%, the *in vitro* degradability and true digestibility were reduced. Microbial biomass was highest with KSKM replacement at 30% (19.6 mg). Moreover, $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations were quadratically increased when increasing levels of KSKM in the diet and were highest with KSKM replacement at 30% (25.6 mg/ dL). Based on this study, it could be concluded that replacement of SBM by KSKM at 30% in the diets could improve *in vitro* gas production, gas kinetics, ruminal degradability, microbial mass and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentration.

Keywords: soybean meal, *Neptunia javanica* Miq., *in vitro* gas production, digestibility

¹ Program in Animal Production Technology, Faculty of Technology, UdonThani Rajabhat University, UdonThani

² Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Natural Resources, Rajamangala University of Technology-Isan, Sakon Nakhon Campus, Phangkhon, Sakon Nakhon

³ Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen

* Corresponding author: nirawan_kku@hotmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Feeding is very important for livestock production, especially the energy and protein source. Soybean meal (SBM) is currently the most commonly used as protein source in animal feeds. (Yue and Zhou, 2008). Most of SBM used in feed formulation are imported, resulting in increasing production cost (Wanapat et al., 2013a). Moreover, the price of SBM has trend to dramatically increase.

The high cost of protein sources, their restricted availability and the unpredictability of their markets, increase the need for alternative sources of protein in animal feed. Most published research on the use of plant protein and/or carbohydrate, as a substitute of SBM, in animal feed has focused on the inclusion of cottonseed meal (Margarida et al., 2002), sunflower seed meal (Irshaid et al., 2003; Titi, 2003), *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Pithecellobium dulce* (Paengkoum and Paengkoum, 2009), *Vicia faba* L. var. *minuta* (Azaza et al., 2009) and dried tomato pomace (Yuangklang et al., 2010).

Neptunia is in Mimosaceae family (subfamily of leguminosae) are widely cultivated and extensively grown in Myanmar, Indochina region, Jawa, East Timor and Thailand. In Thailand found two species, *Neptunia javanica* Miq. and *Neptunia oleracea* Lour. (water mimosa). People consume *Neptunia oleracea* Lour. but not for *Neptunia javanica* Miq. (kased-kok) because it has higher fiber. It contains 23-25% crude protein, which could be a potential protein source for animal. However, little information is available on the use of *Neptunia javanica* Miq. meal in the animal feeds. Therefore, the aim of this work was to evaluate the ef-

fects of replacement of SBM by KAKM in feeds on gas production and ruminal degradability by using *in vitro* gas technique

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design and dietary treatments

The experimental design was a completely randomized design (CRD) and the dietary treatments were soybean meal replacement by dry *Neptunia javanica* Miq. (kased-kok) meal (KAKM) in the diet at 30, 60 and 100% with 0.5 g of roughage and concentrate ratio at 40:60. Rice straw was used as a roughage source. Concentrates were formulated to contain 14% and 76% of crude protein (CP) and total digestible nutrient (TDN), respectively. KAKM were dried at 60°C, then ground to pass a 1-mm sieve (Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Sweden) and used for chemical analysis and use in the *in vitro* gas test. The samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM), ash and crude protein (CP) using the procedures of AOAC (1995), neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) according to Van Soest et al. (1991). The ingredients and chemical compositions of concentrate, rice straw and KAKM used in the *in vitro* experiment are shown in Table 1.

Animals and preparation of rumen inoculums

Two male, rumen-fistulated beef cattle with body weight of 500±30 kg were used as rumen fluid donors. Beef cattle rumen fluid was collected from animals fed with rice straw *ad libitum*. The animals received the diets for 14 d before the rumen fluid was collected. On day 15, 1000 ml rumen liquor was obtained from each animal before the morning feeding. The rumen fluid was

filtered through four layers of cheesecloth into pre-warmed thermo flasks and then transported to the laboratory.

In vitro fermentation of substrates

Samples of 0.5 g of roughage and respective concentrate (**Table 1**) at ratio 40:60 were weighed into 50 ml serum bottles. For each treatment, three replications were prepared. Ruminal fluid from each animal was mixed with the artificial saliva solution of Menke and Steingass (1988) in a proportion 2:1 (ml/ml) at 39 °C under continuous flushing with CO₂ and 40 ml of rumen inocula mixture were added into each bottle under CO₂ flushing. Bottles were sealed with rubber stoppers and aluminum caps and incubated at 39°C (72 h) for *in vitro* gas test.

Sample and analysis

During the incubation, data of gas production was measured immediately after incubation at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 18, 24, 48 and 72 h by using a glass syringe. Cumulative gas production data were fitted to the model of Ørskov and McDonald (1979) as follows: $y = a + b [1 - e^{(-ct)}]$ where a = the gas production from the immediately soluble fraction, b = the gas production from the insoluble fraction, c = the gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction (b), t = incubation time, $(a+b)$ = the potential extent of gas production. y = gas produced at time “ t ”. Fermentation liquor was sampled at 2 and 4 h post inoculations and then filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. Samples were centrifuged at 16,000 xg for 15 min, and the supernatant was stored at -20 °C before NH₃-N analysis using the micro-Kjeldahl methods (AOAC, 1995). The *in vitro* degradability was

determined after termination of incubation, when the contents were filtered through pre-weighed Gooch crucibles and residual dry matter was estimated. The percent loss in weight was determined and presented as *in vitro* dry matter degradability (IVDMD). The dried feed sample and residue left above was ashed at 550 °C for determination of *in vitro* organic matter degradability (IVOMD) (Tilley and Terry, 1963). At 48 h postinoculation a set of treatment was determined *in vitro* true digestibility according to Van Soest et al. (1991). The true digestibility was used to calculate microbial mass according to the method of Blümmel et al. (1997).

Statistical analysis

All data from the experiment were analyzed as a completely randomized design using the GLM procedure of SAS (1998). Differences between treatment means were determined by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (Steel and Torrie, 1980), and differences among means with $P < 0.05$ were accepted as representing statistically significant differences. Trend of KSKM levels responded was performed by orthogonal polynomials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The chemical compositions of concentrate, rice straw and KSKM are shown in **Table 1**. Concentrate and rice straw contained 14% and 3.2% CP, respectively. The KSKM contained 23.0% CP, 62.0% NDF and 41.0% ADF. Gas kinetics and cumulative gas production for each of the substrate treatments are presented in **Table 2**. It was found that gas production from soluble fractions

(a) ranged from -6.1 to -6.6 and was not significantly different among treatments ($P>0.05$). Moreover, gas production from the insoluble fraction (b), gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction b (c), potential extent of gas production (a+b) and cumulative gas production were maintained when SBM was replaced with KSKM. In addition, replacing SBM with KSKM at 30 and 60% did not show a difference in *in vitro* degradability of DM, OM and true digestibility when compared with SBM treatment. This indicated that utilization of KSKM in feeds may provide essential nutrients for microbial growth and their activity. However, when the instead level of replacement was 100% the *in vitro* degradability and true digestibility were reduced. This result was probably due to the increased fiber contents, since the KSKM contained 62.0% and 41.0% of NDF and ADF, respectively. Similarly, Cherdthong and Wanapat (2013) found that the *in vitro* digestibility was negatively correlated with NDF and ADF contents in the diet of swamp buffalo. Higher *in vitro* true digestibility reflects higher microbial biomass (Ørskov, 1994; Blümmel et al., 1997; Infascelli et al., 2005; Zicarelli et al., 2011). A similar trend was also found in this experiment with KSKM replacement at 30% (19.6 mg of microbial biomass). The microbial produced in the rumen by microorganisms is the major source of protein for the ruminants and the prediction of efficiency of microbial production is very important in ruminant nutrition (Karabulut et al., 2007; Kongmun et al., 2010). Replacement of SBM by KSKM at 30% may provide essential nutrients for microbes, thus resulting in an improved efficiency of microbial protein synthesis. Ruminal $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations in this study were 19.6 to 25.6 mg/

dL and closer to the optimal ruminal $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ range (15 to 30 mg/dL, Perdok and Leng, 1990; Wanapat et al., 2008; Gunun et al., 2013; Anantasook et al., 2013).

CONCLUSION

Based on this study it can be concluded that replacement of SBM by KSKM has positive effect on gas production and ruminal degradability. Replacement of SBM by KSKM at 30% in feed resulted in improved *in vitro* true digestibility, microbial mass and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentration. However, these findings should be investigated further in *in vivo* study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express sincere thanks to the faculty of Technology, Center of Science and Technology for Research and Community Development, Udon Thani Rajabhat University for providing financial support, laboratory and research facilities.

REFERENCES

- Anantasook, N., M. Wanapat, A. Cherdthong, and P. Gunun. 2013. Effect of plants containing secondary compounds with palm oil on feed intake, digestibility, microbial protein synthesis and microbial population in dairy cows. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 26:820-826.
- AOAC. 1995. Official Method of Analysis, 16th ed. Animal Feeds: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, VA, USA.
- Cherdthong, A., and M. Wanapat. 2013. Manipulation of *in vitro* ruminal fermentation and digestibility by dried rumen digesta. supplementation on rumen microorganisms, fermentation and nutrient digestibility in swamp buffalo. *Livest. Sci.* 153: 94-100.

- Gunun, P., M. Wanapat, and N. Anantasook. 2013. Effects of physical form and urea treatment of rice straw on rumen fermentation, microbial protein synthesis and nutrient digestibility in dairy steers. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 26(12): 1689-1697.
- Menke, K.H., and H., Steingass. 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. Dev.* 28: 7-55.
- Paengkoum, P. and S. Paengkoum. 2009. Effects of supplementing rice straw with *Leucaena* (*Leucaena leucocephala*) and Madras thorn (*Pithecellobium dulce*) foliage on digestibility, microbial N supply and nitrogen balance of growing goats. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2009.00978.x
- SAS. 1998. User's Guide: Statistic, Version 6, 12th edition. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- Tilley, J.M.A., and R.A. Terry. 1963. A two-stage technique for the digestion of forage crops. *J. Br. Grassland Soc.* 18: 104-111.
- Wanapat, M., A. Cherdthong, P. Pakdee, and S. Wanapat. 2008. Manipulation of rumen ecology by dietary lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus* Stapf.) powder supplementation. *J. Anim. Sci.* 86: 3497-3503.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of concentrate, rice straw and dry *Neptunia javanica* meal used in the experiment.

Item	SBM ¹	Nep.30	Nep.60	Nep.100	RS	Nep.
Ingredient, kg DM						
Cassava chip	72.0	68.0	61.0	55.0		
Rice bran	8.0	12.0	16.0	16.0		
Palm kernel meal	6.0	6.2	10.0	16.5		
<i>Neptunia javanica</i>	0.0	4.4	9.1	13.2		
Soybean meal	14.0	10.0	4.4	0.0		
Urea	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		
Molasses	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		
Salt	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		
Sulfur	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		
Mineral premix	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Chemical composition						
Dry matter, %	87.7	87.9	88.1	89.0	93.4	28.2
-----g/kg of dry matter-----						
Organic matter	92.9	92.5	92.8	92.8	95.4	91.2
Crude protein	13.9	13.6	13.7	13.7	3.2	23.0
Neutral detergent fiber	19.0	23.4	23.9	24.7	70.9	62.0
Acid detergent fiber	11.1	12.3	12.8	13.9	57.1	41.0
Total digestible nutrients ²	76.2	76.1	76.0	76.0	45.0	-

¹SBM = soybean meal, KSKM30, 60, 100 = soybean meal replacement by *Neptunia javanica* meal 30 %, 60% and 100%, RS = rice straw. Nep.=*Neptunia javanica*,

²Calculated value.

Table 2. Effect of *Neptunia javanica* as protein sources in the diet on gas kinetics, gas production, degradability and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentration from *in vitro* incubation with rumen fluid

Incubation time (h)	Treatment ¹					Contrast ²		
	SBM	KSKM30	KSKM60	KSKM100	SEM	L	Q	C
				0				
Fermentation kinetic values ³								
a	-6.6	-6.1	-6.1	-6.2	0.35	ns	ns	ns
b	73.9	66.6	68.3	62.7	1.77	ns	ns	ns
c	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	ns	ns	ns
a+b	67.3	60.5	62.2	56.5	1.46	ns	ns	ns
Gas (72 h) ml/0.5 g DM substrate	59.4	56.3	53.8	49.9	1.47	ns	ns	ns
<i>In vitro</i> degradability, %								
IVDMD	60.0 ^{ab}	65.3 ^a	59.1 ^{ab}	56.9 ^b	0.59	ns	*	ns
IVOMD	66.6 ^{ab}	72.3 ^a	66.8 ^{ab}	63.8 ^b	0.54	*	*	ns
True digestibility, %	60.0 ^{ab}	65.3 ^a	59.1 ^{ab}	56.9 ^b	0.05	ns	**	*
Microbial mass, mg	17.4 ^b	19.6 ^a	16.2 ^c	17.2 ^b	0.05	**	**	**
$\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$, (mg/ dL)	19.6 ^a	25.6 ^c	22.9 ^b	21.4 ^{ab}	0.19	ns	**	ns

¹SBM = soybean meal, KAKM.30, 60, 100 = soybean meal replacement by *Neptunia javanica* meal 30 %, 60% and 100%,

*P<0.05, **P<0.01

²Linear (L), quadratic (Q), and cubic (C) effects of supplemented treatments.

³a=gas production from the immediately soluble fraction, b=gas production from the insoluble fraction, c=gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction (b), (a+b)=potential extent of gas production.